



LONDON BOROUGH OF BRENT

MINUTES OF THE ALCOHOL AND ENTERTAINMENT LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE (B) Wednesday 2 April 2014 at 10.00 am

PRESENT: Councillor Chohan (Chair) and Councillors Arnold and HM Patel

1. **Declarations of personal and prejudicial interests**

None declared.

2. **Application by 'Location Cafe' for a premises licence for '232 High Road' (London, NW10 2NX) pursuant to the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003**

RESOLVED:

that the application by 'Location Cafe' for a premises licence for '232 High Road' (London, NW10 2NX) pursuant to the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003 be **refused**.

The Alcohol and Entertainment Licensing Sub-Committee (B) noted that this was the second occasion on which the applicant had failed to attend a hearing, despite the applicant having been advised that their application may still be heard in their absence should they fail to attend again without providing a valid reason. As such, the Sub-Committee felt it was reasonable to consider the application in their absence. Upon considering the application, the Sub-Committee felt that the evidence submitted by the police provided sufficient grounds to refuse the application on the grounds of public safety, particularly in respect of dense smoke in an enclosed area and with regard to fire safety and accordingly the application was refused.

3. **Application by Memik Cagin for a variation of the premises licence for 'Mir Food Centre' (451-453 Kingsbury Road, London, NW9 9DY) pursuant to the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003**

RESOLVED:

that the application by Memik Cagin for a variation of the premises licence for 'Mir Food Centre' (451-453 Kingsbury Road, London, NW9 9DY) pursuant to the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003 be **refused**.

The Alcohol and Entertainment Licensing Sub-Committee (B) had serious concerns regarding the lack of knowledge of the licensing objectives (prevention of crime and

disorder, prevention of public nuisance, ensuring public safety and protecting children from harm) demonstrated by the premises licence holder/designated premises supervisor at the hearing and therefore also of his ability to be able to provide the necessary level of training pertaining to licensing matters to his staff. In view of this apparent lack of knowledge, the Sub-Committee felt that the applicant would be unable to uphold the licensing objectives if the variation was approved and accordingly the application was refused.

The Alcohol and Entertainment Licensing Sub-Committee (B) added an informative advising that the premises licence holder/designated premises supervisor undertake refresher licensing training in order to help them uphold the licensing objectives. A further informative was also issued to the applicant advising that the opening hours of the premises was a planning consideration and should be taken up with the relevant Planning Authority.

The meeting closed at 12.20 pm.

B CHOHAN
Chair